



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,493	01/11/2002	Bin Yu	G0615	9266
75	90 02/14/2003			
M. David Galin			EXAMINER	
Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP Nineteenth Floor			QUINTO, KEVIN V	
1621 Euclid Ave Cleveland, OH	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No	Applicant(s)	V
10/044,493	YU ET AL.	,
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin Quinto	2826	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for ContinuExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ped
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	n no
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	under orth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifyir issues for appeal; and/or	g the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amend canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 20</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) การเกาะ เกาะ เกาะ เกาะ เกาะ เกาะ เกาะ เกาะ	
10. ☐ Other: Other: Other: OTHER OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 10/044,493

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented appear to be dependent upon the statement that an oxide nitride stack is not considered to have a high dielectric constant. However the examiner would like to point out Topich (USPN 4,419,812), which uses an oxide nitride stack and describes it as having a high dielectric constant (column 8, lines 34-38).